

PERFORMANCE OF BOTTOM ASH
TREATED PEAT SOIL IN
REDUCING COMPRESSIBILITY

MOHAMMAD WIJDAN BIN NOOR MAHADI

B. ENG (HONS.) CIVIL ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I/We* hereby declare that I/We* have checked this thesis/project* and in my/our* opinion, this thesis/project* is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of *Doctor of Philosophy/ Master of Engineering/ Master of Science in ...Civil Engineering...

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : DR. YOUVENTHARAN DUR AISAMY

Position : SENIOR LECTURER

Date :



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : MOHAMMAD WIJDAN BIN NOOR MAHADI

ID Number : AA14204

Date :

PERFORMANCE OF BOTTOM ASH TREATED PEAT SOIL
IN REDUCING COMPRESSIBILITY

MOHAMMAD WIJDAN BIN NOOR MAHADI

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the
Bachelor Degree in Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering and Earth Resources
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JUNE 2018

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Firstly, I would like to thank my research supervisor, Dr. Youventharan Duraisamy for assisting and guiding me along doing this research. Without him I will never finish this research.

Next, thanks to Soil and Geotechnical Laboratory technicians, En. Azmi, En. Haliman, and also En. Ziu for helping me and assisting me while doing the several test to achieve the objective of this research.

Lastly, I would like to thank my family especially my parents, Noor Mahadi bin Mohamad Isa and Thalhah binti Bakar for supporting me and motivate me not to give up to finish this research.

ABSTRAK

Tanah gambut adalah tidak sesuai untuk tujuan pembinaan kerana sifat semulajadinya (kebolehmampatan tinggi, kekuatan ricih rendah dan kandungan air permulaan yang tinggi). Kajian ini dijalankan bagi mengenalpasti prestasi tanah gambut yang dirawat dengan Bottom Ash bagi mengurangkan kebolehmampatan. OPC digunakan sebagai pembolehubah yang dimalarkan iaitu sebagai pengikat kepada Bottom Ash dan juga simen. Bottom Ash digunakan sebagai pemboleh ubah manipulasi dalam setiap siri ujian makmal yang telah dijalankan. Kajian ini bertujuan bagi menentukan hubungan antara had pengecutan linear dan kandungan kelembapan tanah gambut sebelum dan selepas penstabilan, hubungan antara had pengecutan linear dan kandungan serat dengan kandungan organik dalam tanah gambut sebelum dan selepas penstabilan dan bagi mengukur kesan had penyusutan linear dalam mengurangkan penyelesaian sebelum dan selepas penstabilan. Beberapa ujian telah dilakukan bagi menentukan sifat kejuruteraan dan bagi mencapai objektif penyelidikan seperti ujikaji graviti tertentu, ujian nilai pH, kandungan air, kandungan serat, kandungan organik, dan had pengecutan linear. Hasilnya membuktikan bahawa Bottom Ash dapat digunakan sebagai salah satu agen penstabil dalam mengurangkan kebolehmampatan tanah gambut.

ABSTRACT

Peat soil is an unsuitable for construction purpose because of its natural properties (high compressibility, low shear strength and high initial water content). This research was done to study the performance of bottom ash treated peat soil in reducing compressibility. The specific amount of OPC (control variable) used as a binders and proportion of bottom ash (manipulate variable) in a series of laboratory test were conducted. This research aims were to determine the relationship between linear shrinkage limit and moisture content of the peat soil before and after stabilization, the relationship between linear shrinkage limit and the fiber content with organic content in peat soil before and after stabilization and to measure the effects of linear shrinkage limit in reducing settlement before and after stabilization. Several test has been done to determine the engineering properties and to obtain the objective of the research such as specific gravity test, pH value test, water content, fiber content, organic content, and linear shrinkage limit. The results proved that Bottom Ash could be used as one of the stabilization agent in reducing the compressibility of the peat soil.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION

TITLE PAGE

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS **ii**

ABSTRAK **iii**

ABSTRACT **iv**

TABLE OF CONTENT **v**

LIST OF TABLES **ix**

LIST OF FIGURES **x**

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS **xi**

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION **1**

1.1 Introduction and Background of Study **1**

1.2 Problem Statement **2**

1.3 Research Question/ Hypothesis **3**

1.4 Overall Objective **3**

1.5 Scope of Work **4**

1.6 Thesis Structure **4**

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW **5**

2.1 Introduction **5**

2.2 Peat Soil **6**

2.2.1 Distribution of Peat **6**

2.2.2	Classification of Peat	7
2.2.3	Physical and Chemical Properties	8
2.3	Bottom Ash	12
2.4	Experiments	13
2.5	Sampling of Peat	13
2.6	Settlement Parameters of Peat	13
2.7	Compression Index, C_c and Void Ratio, e	14
2.8	Coefficient of Secondary Compression, C_α	16
2.9	Summary of Literature Review	17
	CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY	18
3.1	Introduction	18
3.2	Sampling	19
3.2.1	Location of Sampling	19
3.2.2	Sample Collection and Preservation	18
3.3	Determination of Engineering Properties	20
3.3.1	Determination of Specific Gravity	21
3.3.2	Determination of Water Content	22
3.3.3	Determination of Linear Shrinkage Limit	22
3.3.4	Determination of Fiber Content	24
3.3.5	Determination of Organic Content	25

CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	26
4.1 Introduction	26
4.2 Soil Classification	27
4.3 Index and Engineering Properties	28
4.3.1 pH value	28
4.3.2 Specific Gravity	28
4.3.3 Water Content	28
4.3.4 Linear Shrinkage Limit	29
4.3.5 Fiber Content	29
4.3.6 Organic Content	29
4.4 Relationship between linear shrinkage limit and moisture content	30
4.5 Relationship between linear shrinkage limit and the fiber content with organic content	31
4.6 Effects of linear shrinkage limit in reducing settlement	34
4.6.1 Compression Index, C_c	34
4.6.2 Coefficient of Secondary Compression, C_α	36
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION	38
5.1 Conclusions	38
5.2 Recommendations	39

REFERENCES	40
APPENDIX A : PEATLAND AREA DISTRIBUTION	46
APPENDIX B : CLASSIFICATION OF PEAT AND ORGANIC SOIL	47
APPENDIX C : EXPANSION BASED ON LINEAR SHRINKAGE	50
APPENDIX D : PROPERTIES AND TESTING METHODS	51
APPENDIX E : COMPARISON OF RESULTS	52
APPENDIX F : PEAT SOIL WITH BOTTOM ASH	54

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Peatland area distribution around the world
Table 2.2	Classification of peat according to Radforth System
Table 2.3	Classification of Peat according ASTM
Table 2.4	Classification of Peat according to Von Post Scale
Table 2.5	Category based on the linear shrinkage (Labs, 2017)
Table 3.1	Physical and chemical testing for peat
Table 4.1	Result of natural peat soil properties
Table 4.2	Comparison of results of specific gravity
Table 4.3	Comparison of results of water content
Table 4.4	Comparison of organic content results
Table 4.5	Result of Linear shrinkage (%)
Table 4.6	Result of Water content (%)
Table 4.7	Water content (%) against linear shrinkage (%)
Table 4.8	Result fiber content (%)
Table 4.9	Linear Shrinkage (%) against Fiber Content (%)
Table 4.10	Result of Organic Content (%)
Table 4.11	Linear Shrinkage (%) against Organic Content (%)
Table 4.12	Result of C_c
Table 4.13	Result of C_α
Table 4.14	Linear Shrinkage (%) against C_c
Table 4.15	Linear Shrinkage (%) against C_α

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Morphology profile of drained peat soil
Figure 2.2	Peat locations in Malaysia
Figure 2.3	Furnace used in the organic content test
Figure 3.1	Sampling Location
Figure 3.2	Peat storage box
Figure 3.3	Shrinkage limit test
Figure 3.4	Moisture content tests
Figure 4.1	Van Post Scale test
Figure 4.2	Water Content (%) against Bottom Ash (%)
Figure 4.3	Linear Shrinkage (%) against Water Content (%)
Figure 4.4	Fiber Content (%) against Bottom Ash (%)
Figure 4.5	Linear Shrinkage (%) against Fiber Content (%)
Figure 4.6	Organic Content (%) against Bottom Ash (%)
Figure 4.7	Linear Shrinkage (%) against Organic Content (%)
Figure 4.8	Compression Index (C_c) against Bottom Ash (%)
Figure 4.9	Linear Shrinkage (%) against Compression Index (C_c)
Figure 4.10	Coefficient of Secondary Compression (C_α) against Bottom Ash (%)
Figure 4.11	Linear Shrinkage Limit (%) against Coefficient of Secondary Compression (C_α)

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
BA	Bottom Ash
BS	British Standard
Cc	Compression Index
C α	Coefficient of Secondary Compression
FC	Fiber Content
LS	Linear Shrinkage
OC	Organic Content
OPC	Ordinary Portland Cement
δ_c	Settlement due to consolidation

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction and Background of Study

In Malaysia, Sarawak has the largest area of peat land which is about 1.66 million hectares (Huat, Kazemian, Prasad, & Barghchi, 2011). Whereas in Peninsular Malaysia, Pekan Forest Reserve has the largest peat block with an area of 59,097 ha (Lopez, 2010). Peat is an accumulation of partially decomposed and disintegrated plant remains under conditions of incomplete aeration and high water content (Kalantari & Prasad, 2014). Peat is an organic soil which consists more than 70% of organic matters (Duraisamy, Huat, & Aziz, 2007). The formation of peats is favourable when the area is waterlogged, with low permeability ground, excess rainfall and irrespective of altitude or latitude (Huat, Prasad, Asadi, & Kazemian, 2014). Its structure ranges from more or less decomposed plant remains to a fine amorphous, colloidal mass (Cumming & Finlay, 2006). The peat soil is classified as problematic soil. This is due to its natural properties of high water content, high compressibility, low shear strength, high degree of variability in the same location, and potential for further decomposition as a result of changing environmental conditions (Celik & Canakci, 2014). Usually peat area related with swampy and normally low shear strength region and high compressibility is significant and often related to problematic soil for construction purposes such as highly secondary settlement and stability problem may occur when the structure is built on the peat soil (Moayedi & Nazir, 2017). There are many methods to enhance the strength properties of the peat for future development in the country like Malaysia such as chemical stabilization, cement stabilization and fibre reinforcement. The main purposes here is only for construction reliability only and not considering the environmental effects. In this research, bottom ash was used to stabilize the peat soil. This stabilization method involves the mechanical mixing of cementitious compound such as Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) with peat soil.

1.2 Problem Statement

Peat soil is considered as problematic soil due to its natural properties of high moisture content, high compressibility and water holding capacity, low specific gravity, low shear strength and medium to low permeability (Kolay, Sii, & Taib, 2011). It is unsuitable for the engineer to construct the structure like foundations and buildings on it. This is because construction poses problems like high secondary settlement and stability problem may occur when the structure is built on the peat soil. Usually, construction on peat soil is the last option for developer and also engineer as the effectiveness of existing treatment is still questionable and it is very costly. Unfortunately, due to the rapid development in country, lack of land for construction becomes problems. Hence, it is essential and important for the future of this country development by getting the right solution for the stabilization work and improving the peat soil. The properties of peat soil must be clearly understood in order to improve the properties of peat.

Nowadays, many methods have been introduced in order to stabilize and improve the soil such as mechanical stabilization (improving properties of the soil by changing its gradation) and stabilization by using different types of admixtures (such as Lime Stabilization, Cement stabilization, Chemical Stabilization and Fly ash Stabilization) (Afrin, 2017). However, some of them require high cost and yet the effectiveness of the ground improvement method is questionable. Thus, elements like cost, environmental friendliness, reliability, effectiveness and durability should be considered in selecting the best method of ground improvement.

Bottom ash used for the stabilization of the peat soil. It is one of the method for soft soil stabilization. In construction industry, OPC is combined with bottom ash for the peat stabilization because it has economically-friendly and good price-performance ratio. Unfortunately, OPC is highly not environmental friendly and it contributed to climate changes and global warming. For every tonne of cement production, its actually emit the same amount of carbon dioxide to the environment. The highest amount of carbon dioxide is actually from the production of cement which contributes to global warming (Damtoft, Lukasik, Herfort, Sorrentino, & Gartner, 2008).

1.3 Research Question/ Hypothesis

To overcome the already existing research problems and its gap, the proposed study aims to address the following research questions

- What is the effect of bottom ash toward the relationship of the linear shrinkage limit and moisture content of the peat soil before and after stabilization?
- What is the effect of peat consisting different proportion of OPC (5%) and Bottom Ash (5%, 10%, 15% and 20%) towards the relationship between linear shrinkage limit and fiber content with organic content in the peat soil before and after stabilization?
- What is the effects of linear shrinkage limit in reducing settlement before and after stabilization?

1.4 Overall Objective

The main purpose of this research is to study the performance of Bottom Ash treated peat soil in reducing compressibility

Specific Aims

1. To determine the relationship between linear shrinkage limit and moisture content of the peat soil before and after stabilization.
2. To determine the relationship between linear shrinkage limit and the fiber content with organic content in peat soil before and after stabilization.
3. To measure the effects of linear shrinkage limit in reducing settlement before and after stabilization.

REFERENCES

- Afrin, H. (2017). A Review on Different Types Soil Stabilization Techniques. *International Journal of Transportation Engineering and Technology*, 3(2), 19–24. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijtet.20170302.12>
- Andriesse JP (1974). The characteristics, agriculture potential and reclamation problems of tropical lowland peats in south-east Asia. Department of Agriculture Research, Amsterdam, pp 63–70
- ASTM. (1998). ASTM D2976-71 Standard Test Method for pH of Peat Materials 1. <https://doi.org/10.1520/D2976-71R04.2>
- ASTM. (2000). ASTM D2980-04 Standard Test Method for Volume Mass, Moisture-Holding Capacity, and Porosity of Saturated Peat Materials. American Society of Testings and Methods, ASTM, M(14782), 5–7. <https://doi.org/10.1520/D2980-04R10.2>
- ASTM. (2013). ASTM D1997-13 Standard Test Method for Laboratory Determination of the Fiber Content of Peat Samples by Dry Mass. American Society of Testings and Methods, ASTM, 1–3. <https://doi.org/10.1520/D1997-13.2>
- ASTM. (2014). ASTM D2974-14 Standard Test Methods for Moisture, Ash, and Organic Matter of Peat and Other. <https://doi.org/10.1520/D2974-07A.2>
- Celik, F., & Canakci, H. (2014). An Investigation of the Effect of Sand Content on Geotechnical Properties of Fibrous Peat. *Arab J Sci Eng*, 39, 6943–6948. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-014-1298-x>
- Chan, C. M. (2009). “NeuSOIL: Regenerating soft soils for engineering applications.” In *Proceedings of Engineering Seminar on Peat, Sibul*.

- Cumming, D., & Finlay, D. (2006). Goe-environmental Considerations with respect to Construction of Access Tracks on Peat, (May).
- Damtoft, J. S., Lukasik, J., Herfort, D., Sorrentino, D., & Gartner, E. M. (2008). Sustainable development and climate change initiatives. *Cement and Concrete Research*, 38, 2007–2009. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2007.09.008>
- Davis JH (1997). The peat deposits of florida their occurrence, development and uses, Florida Geological Survey. *Geol. Bull.*, 3.
- Duraisamy, Y., Huat, B. B. K., & Aziz, A. A. (2007). SCI-PUBLICATIONS Author Manuscript Engineering Properties and Compressibility Behavior of Tropical Peat Soil SCI-PUBLICATION Author Manuscript. *American Journal of Applied Sciences*, 4(10), 768–773.
- Edil, T.B. (1997). Construction over peats and organic soils. In *proceedings of Recent Advances in Soft Soil Engineering*, ed. Huat and Bahia, 85-108.
- Farrell, E.R. (2012). Chapter 32: Organics/peat soils. *Manual of Geotechnical Engineering*. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers. pp. 463–479.
- Finland, R. (2018, May 4). *Mass Stabilization is conquering the world*. Retrieved from Mass Stabilization: <http://projektit.ramboll.fi/massastabilointi/>
- Hashim, R. and Islam S. (2008). A Model Study to Determine Engineering Properties of Peat Soil and Effect on Strength after Stabilization. *European J. Scientific Res.*, 2, 205–215.
- Huat, B. B. K. (2002). “Some mechanical properties of tropical peat and organic soils.” In *2nd World Engineering Congress*, Sarawak 22-25 July, pp. 82-87.
- Hobbs, N.B. (1986). Mire morphology and the properties and behaviour of some British and foreign peats. *Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology*, 19(1): 7–80.

- Huat B.B. K. (2004). Organic and Peat Soils Engineering. Universiti Putra Malaysia Press, 1–146.
- Huat, B. B., Prasad, A., Asadi, A., & Kazemian, S. (2014). Geotechnics of Organic Soils and Peat. <https://doi.org/10.1201/b15627>
- Huat, B. B. K., Kazemian, S., Prasad, A., & Barghchi, M. (2011). State of an art review of peat : General perspective, 6(8), 1988–1996. <https://doi.org/10.5897/IJPS11.192>
- Huat, B. B. K., Kazemian, S., Prasad, A., & Barghchi, M. (2011a). A study of the compressibility behavior of peat stabilized by DMM : Lab Model and FE analysis. Academic Journals, 6(1), 196–204. <https://doi.org/10.5897/SRE10.790>
- Huat, B. B. K., Kazemian, S., Prasad, A., & Barghchi, M. (2011b). State of an art review of peat : General perspective, 6(8), 1988–1996. <https://doi.org/10.5897/IJPS11.192>
- Jarret P.M (1997). Recent development in design and construction on peat and organic soils. In Proceedings of Recent Advances in Soft Soil Engineering,ed. Huat and Bahia, pp.1-30.Sarawak.
- Johari, N. N., Bakar, I., & Aziz, M. H. A. (2016). Consolidation Parameters of Reconstituted Peat Soil : Oedometer Testing, 1–5.
- Kalantari, B., & Prasad, A. (2014). Transportation Geotechnics A study of the effect of various curing techniques on the strength of stabilized peat. TRANSPORTATION GEOTECHNICS, 1(3), 119–128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trgeo.2014.06.002>
- Kelly, B. C. O. (2013). Citation : O Æ™ Kelly B . C . and Pichan S . P. (2013) Effects of decomposition on the compressibility of fibrous peat — A review . Geomechanics and Geoengineering : Effects of decomposition on the compressibility of fibrous peat — A review, 9(June 2012), 286–296. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17486025.2013.804210>

- Khaidir, M., & Abu, B. (2016). Effectiveness of Sugarcane Bagasse Ash (SCBA) Utilization in Peat Stabilization Effectiveness of Sugarcane Bagasse Ash (SCBA) Utilization in Peat Stabilization. Kyushu University.
- Kolay, P. ., Sii, H. Y., & Taib, S. N. L. (2011). Tropical Peat Soil Stabilization using Class F Pond Ash from Coal Fired Power Plant. *International Journal of Civil and Environmental Engineering*, 3(2), 79–83.
- Labs, S. A. (2017). Spectro Analytical Labs. Retrieved from Spectro Analytical Labs: <http://www.spectro.in/Soil-Testing-definition.html>
- Lopez, A. (2010). A QUICK SCAN OF A QUICK SCAN OF PEATLANDS IN MALAYSIA. *Wetlands International–Malaysia*, (March), 1–87.
- M. Singh, R. Siddique, K. Ait-Mokhtar, R. Belarbi. (2015). Durability properties of concrete made with high volume of low coal bottom ash as a replacement of two types of sand. *J. Mater. Civ. Eng.*
- Moayed, H., & Nazir, R. (2017). Malaysian Experiences of Peat Stabilization, State of the Art. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*. 017-0321-x
- Mesri, G., & Ajlouni, M. (2007). Engineering Properties of Fibrous Peats. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 133(7), 850–866. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)1090-0241\(2007\)133:7\(850\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)1090-0241(2007)133:7(850))
- Muttalib, e. a. (1991). Definitions and engineering classifications of tropical lowland peats. ResearchGate. Retrieved from - Scientific Figure on ResearchGate. Available from: <https://www.researchgate.net/Profile-morphology-of-drained-peat-soil>.
- Nursyahidah binti Saedon. (2016). COMPARATIVE STUDY OF THE SHRINKAGE CHARACTERISTICS OF PEAT AT SOUTH EAST JOHORE. *Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia*, (June).

- Nur, P., Izzati, F., Bakar, I., John, A., Meng, L., & Herman, H. S. (2017). Stabilization of Peat Soil by Using Envirotac. MATEC Web of Conferences, 7014, 1–7.
- O’Loughlin, C.D.& B.M. Lehané (2003). A study of link between composition and compressibility of peat and organic soils. In Proceedings of 2nd International Conference on Advances in Soft Soil Engineering and Technology, 135-152. Putrajaya, Malaysia.
- Sina Kazemian, B. B. K. H. (2009). Compressibility Characteristics of Fibrous Tropical Peat Reinforced with Cement Column. EJGE, 14(Bund. C), 1–13.
- Society, I. P. (2018, January 03). International Peatland Society (IPS). Retrieved from International Peatland Society (IPS): <http://www.peatociety.org/>
- Rafieizonooz, M., Mirza, J., Razman, M., Warid, M., & Khankhaje, E. (2016). Investigation of coal bottom ash and fly ash in concrete as replacement for sand and cement. Construction and Building Materials, 116, 15–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.04.080>
- Raghunandan, M. E., & Sriraam, A. S. (2017). Geoderma Regional An overview of the basic engineering properties of Malaysian peats. Geoderma Regional, 11(February), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geodrs.2017.08.003>
- Singh, M., & Siddique, R. (2016). Effect of coal bottom ash as partial replacement of sand on workability and strength properties of concrete. Journal of Cleaner Production, 112, 620–630. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.08.001>
- Šyc, M., Krausová, A., Kameníková, P., Šomplák, R., Pavlas, M., Svoboda, K. Pohor, M. (2018). Material analysis of Bottom ash from waste-to-energy plants. Elsevier, 73, 360–366. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2017.10.045>
- Tang, C. K. (2009). “Sustainable construction on soft soils in Sibü: a practical perspective.” In Proceedings of Engineering Seminar on peat, Sibü.

- Tarmizi, M., Zulkifley, M., Ng, T. F., Raj, J. K., Ghani, A., Kamal, M., & Ashraf, M. A. (2013). Definitions and engineering classifications of tropical lowland peats. *Bull Eng Geol Environ*, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10064-013-0520-5>
- Wardwell, R.E., Charlie, W.A., and Doxtader, K.A. (1983). Test method for determining the potential for decomposition in organic soils. *Testing of Peats and Organic Soils*, ASTM STP 820, P.M., Jarrett, Ed., American Society of Testing and Materials, pp. 218–229.
- Wong L S, Hashim R and Ali F H (2008). Compression Rates of Untreated and Stabilized Peat Soils, *Electric Journal Geotechnical Engineering*, 13 Bund. F.
- Yamaguchi, H., Ohira, Y., Kogure, K., and Mori, S. (1985). Undrained shear characteristics of normally consolidated peat under triaxial compression and extension conditions. *Japanese Society of Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering*, 25(3): 1–18.
- Yulindasari. (2006). *Compressibility Characteristics of Fibrous Peat Soil*. University Teknologi Malaysia, 1-212.
- Zhang, L., and O'Kelly, B.C. (2013). The principle of effective stress and triaxial compression testing of peat. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers, Geotechnical Engineering*, 11 pp. Currently only available in Ahead of Print version.<http://www.icevirtuallibrary.com/content/article/10.1680/geng.12.00038doi:10.1680/geng.12.00038>